Michael Newdow, *pro hac vice* status pending PO Box 233345 Sacramento, CA 95823 (916) 427-6669

Rosanna Fox, NH SBN: 17693 O'Brien Law Firm P.C. One Sundial Avenue, Fifth Floor Manchester, NH 03103 (603) 627-3800

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-356-JM

THE FREEDOM FROM RELIGION FOUNDATION; JAN DOE AND PAT DOE, PARENTS; DOECHILD-1, DOECHILD-2 and DOECHILD-3, MINOR CHILDREN;

Plaintiffs,

V.

THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; THE HANOVER SCHOOL DISTRICT ("HSD"); THE DRESDEN SCHOOL DISTRICT ("DSD"); SCHOOL ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT 70 ("SAU #70");

Defendants.

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs allege as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JURISDICTION AND VENUE	1
PARTIES	2
RELEVANT LAW	4
A. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS	4
B. STATUTES	5
CLAIM FOR RELIEF	7
PRAYER FOR RELIEF	19

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2 1. This is a civil action claiming (among others) violations of the First, Fifth and
- Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States of America.
- As such, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 5 2. This is a civil action claiming violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000bb et seq.
- 6 (Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA)). As such, this Court has
- 7 jurisdiction under 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000bb-1(c) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8 3. This action is founded in part upon the Constitution of the Unites States of
- America. As such, this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant United States of
- 10 America under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(a)(2).

- 4. This action is in the nature of mandamus, and seeks to compel the Congress of
- the United States of America, the United States of America, its agents and its
- officers to perform their duties owed Plaintiffs under the terms of the First and
- Fifth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and under 42 U.S.C.
- § 2000bb et seq. As such, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1361.
- 5. This action alleges that Defendants Hanover School District ("HSD"), Dresden
- School District ("DSD"), and School Administrative Unit 70 ("SAU #70") have
- deprived and/or will deprive Plaintiffs of rights secured by the First, Fifth and
- Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America. As

- such, this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1
- 1343 (3). 2
- 6. Defendants the Congress of the United States of America and the United States 3
- of America are each an officer or employee of the United States, an agency of 4
- the United States, or the United States. All Plaintiffs reside in this judicial 5
- district. Venue is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (e)(1) and § 6
- 1391(e)(3). 7

- 7. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred, 8
- occur or will occur in the District of New Hampshire. Venue is therefore proper 9
- under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and § 1391(e)(2). 10
- 8. Defendants Hanover School District ("HSD"), Dresden School District 11
- ("DSD"), and School Administrative Unit 70 ("SAU #70") reside in New 12
- Hampshire. Venue is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3). 13

PARTIES 15

- 9. Plaintiff Freedom From Religion Foundation (FFRF) is a national association 16
- of freethinkers (atheists and agnostics), established as a 501(c)(3) educational 17
- group in 1978, which works to keep church and state separate. The Foundation, 18
- based in Madison, Wisconsin, has members in every state, including New 19
- Hampshire. Current total membership is approximately 11,000, of which 20

- approximately 60 are from New Hampshire. Members of FFRF in addition to
- plaintiffs Doe live in, pay taxes in, and have children (or are children) who
- attend public schools in this judicial district. Those other (non-Doe) members
- suffer the same or similar harms as alleged in this Complaint.
- 5 10. Plaintiffs Jan Doe and Pat Doe are residents and citizens of the United States,
- of the State of New Hampshire and of Hanover, New Hampshire. They own
- 7 property situated in Hanover, New Hampshire. Accordingly, they pay taxes that
- are used to fund HSD, DSD, SAU #70 and their schools. They are the parents
- of DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3, with full legal custody of those
- 10 children.
- 11. Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3 are residents and citizens of
- the United States, of the State of New Hampshire, and of Hanover, New
- Hampshire. All three of these DoeChildren are currently enrolled in one of the
- HSD's schools, and will subsequently attend one or more of DSD's/SAU #70's
- schools. The three DoeChildren are all siblings and children of Jan and Pat
- Doe.
- 12. Defendant the Congress of the United States of America is the branch of
- government in which all legislative Powers are granted under Article I, Section
- 19 1 of the United States Constitution.

Case	1.07-60-00336-3101	Document	Filed 10/31/2007	rage o

- 13. Defendant the United States of America is the constitutionally established 1
- government of the United States of America. 2
- 14. Defendant the Hanover School District ("HSD") is the governing body 3
- responsible for operating, controlling and supervising free public elementary 4
- schools in Hanover, New Hampshire. 5
- 15. Defendants Dresden School District ("DSD") and School Administrative Unit 6
- 70 ("SAU #70") are the two governing bodies responsible for operating, 7
- controlling and supervising free public middle and high schools in Hanover, 8
- New Hampshire. 9

12

13

RELEVANT LAW

A. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

- 16. The First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America 14
- states, in pertinent part, that "Congress shall make no law respecting an 15
- establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof. ..." 16
- 17. The Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America 17
- states, in pertinent part, that "No person shall be ... deprived of life, liberty, or 18
- property, without due process of law." The Supreme Court has read an Equal 19

1	Protection component into this Due Process Clause. <u>Adarand Constructors, Inc.</u>
2	v. Mineta, 534 U.S. 103, 105 (2001).
3	18. The Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America
4	states, in pertinent part, that:
5	No State shall make or enforce any law which shall
6	abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the
7	United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of
8	life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor
9	deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal
10	protection of the laws. ¹
11	
12	19. Article 6 (Morality and Piety) of the New Hampshire Constitution provides, in
13	pertinent part:
14	[N]o person shall ever be compelled to pay towards the
15	support of the schools of any sect or denomination. And
16	every person, denomination or sect shall be equally under
17	the protection of the law; and no subordination of any one
18	sect, denomination or persuasion to another shall ever be
19	established.
20	
21	

24

B. STATUTES

20. Pursuant to 4 U.S.C. § 4, the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United 23

States of America reads:

¹ By way of the Fourteenth Amendment, the States are subject to the First Amendment of the Constitution. "The First Amendment declares that Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof. The Fourteenth Amendment has rendered the legislatures of the states as incompetent as Congress to enact such laws." Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296, 303-304 (1940).

I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of 1 America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one 2 Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for 3 all. 4 5 21. 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000bb et seq. (Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA)) 6 states, in pertinent parts: 7 § 2000bb(a)(3): "The Congress finds that governments 8 should not substantially burden religious exercise 9 without compelling justification." 10 § 2000bb(b)(1) and (b)(2): "The purposes of this chapter 11 are to restore the compelling interest test ... and to 12 guarantee its application in all cases where free 13 exercise of religion is substantially burdened; and 14 to provide a claim or defense to persons whose 15 religious exercise is substantially burdened by 16 government." 17 2000bb-1(b)(1) and (b)(2): "Government may 18 substantially burden a person's exercise of religion 19 only if it demonstrates that application of the 20 burden to the person is in furtherance of a 21 compelling governmental interest; and is the least 22 restrictive means of furthering that compelling 23 governmental interest." 24 2000bb-2(4): "[T]he term "exercise of religion" 25 [includes any exercise of religion, whether or not 26 compelled by, or central to, a system of religious 27 belief."] 28 § 2000bb-3(a): "This chapter applies to all Federal law, 29 and the implementation of that law, whether 30 statutory or otherwise, and whether adopted before 31 or after November 16, 1993." 32 2000bb-3(c): "Nothing in this chapter shall be 33 construed to authorize any government to burden 34 any religious belief." 35 36 37

- 22. RSA § 194:15-c (New Hampshire School Patriot Act) states:
- I. As a continuation of the policy of teaching our country's history to the elementary and secondary pupils of this state, this section shall be known as the New Hampshire School Patriot Act.
 - II. A school district shall authorize a period of time during the school day for the recitation of the pledge of allegiance. Pupil participation in the recitation of the pledge of allegiance shall be voluntary.
 - III. Pupils not participating in the recitation of the pledge of allegiance may silently stand or remain seated but shall be required to respect the rights of those pupils electing to participate. If this paragraph shall be declared to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the remaining paragraphs in this section shall not be affected, and shall continue in full force and effect.

23. RSA § 169-D:23 (Religious Preference) states (in pertinent part):

No child under the supervision of any state institution shall be denied the free exercise of his religion or that of his parents.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 24. Plaintiff FFRF represents its members, including others besides the Does, who
- suffer the same or similar injuries that the Doe Plaintiffs endure (as listed in
- the following paragraphs).
- 25. Plaintiff Jan Doe is an Atheist, who denies the existence of a God.
- 29 26. Plaintiff Pat Does is agnostic, who doubts the existence of a God.

- 27. Plaintiffs Jan and Pat Doe are the parents of Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2
- and Doe-Child-3, who currently attend public school run by Defendant HSD.
- 3 28. The Pledge of Allegiance has been recited in the classrooms of DoeChild-1,
- 4 DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3.
- 5 29. After completing elementary school in HSD, Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-
- 2 and Doe-Child-3 will attend public schools run by DSD and SAU #70.
- 7 30. The Pledge of Allegiance is recited in the classrooms of the public schools run
- 8 by DSD and SAU #70.
- 9 31. Plaintiffs Jan and Pat Doe have written to the principal of their children's
- school, asking for assurance that the Pledge will no longer be recited in their
- children's classes. The principal has not provided that assurance.
- 12 32. Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3 are all students at a public
- school administered by Defendant HSD.
- 14 33. Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3 are all Atheists or
- agnostics, who specifically deny/doubt the existence of God.
- 17 34. Pursuant to RSA § 194:15-c (New Hampshire School Patriot Act), Defendants
- HSD, DSD and SAU #70 have their teachers and/or other government agents
- lead their public school students in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance during
- school hours.

- 35. Of note is that the Pledge of Allegiance, as codified in 4 U.S.C. § 4 (and as 1
- recited by the students in HSD, DSD and SAU #70), makes the purely 2
- religious claim that we are "one Nation under God." 3
- 36. Plaintiffs, generally, deny that God exists, and maintain that their constitutional 4
- and statutory rights are abridged when the school district Defendants 5
- participate in making the purely religious, Monotheistic claim that the United 6
- States is "one Nation under God." 7
- 37. Plaintiffs all acknowledge and stipulate to the fact that none of them are or 8
- have been actually compelled to say the words, "under God," in the Pledge of 9
- Allegiance. Due to the setting and peer pressures, however, the three 10
- DoeChildren have all been coerced.² Thus, they have all suffered a violation of 11
- their rights to the Free Exercise of their religion (as provided both in the First 12
- Amendment and in RFRA). 13
- 38. Additionally, they have suffered the specific harm that the Establishment 14
- Clause seeks to prevent i.e., they have been degraded from the equal rank of 15
- citizens on account of their religious beliefs.³ In other words, as a result of the 16

² "I think there is a clear difference between compulsion (Barnette) and coercion (Lee)." Elk Grove Unified Sch. Dist. v. Newdow, 124 S. Ct. 2301, 2328 n.4 (2004) (Rehnquist, C.J., concurring).

³ "It degrades from the equal rank of Citizens all those whose opinions in Religion do not bend to those of the Legislative authority." Madison, James. The writings of James Madison: comprising his public papers and his private correspondence,

- Defendants' endorsement of Monotheism, Plaintiffs have suffered the 1
- stigmatic injury of being turned into "outsiders, not full members of the 2
- political community." Lynch v. Donnelly, 465 U.S. 668, 688 (1984) 3
- (O'Connor, J., concurring). 4
- 39. In addition to breaching their constitutional and statutory duties to protect 5
- Plaintiffs against this harm under federal law, Defendants HSD, DSD and SAU 6
- #70 have also breached their constitutional duties under Article 6 (Morality 7
- and Piety) of the New Hampshire Constitution.⁴ 8
- 40. In addition to the just-mentioned stigmatic injury of being turned into "political 9
- outsiders," as a result of Defendants' acts, Plaintiffs have suffered harms 10
- related to their rights of familial association laws as well. 11
- 41. The Doe Plaintiff parents, for instance, have had their parental rights abridged. 12
- 42. Specifically, the rights of the Doe Plaintiff parents to instill in their children 13
- the religious beliefs they find persuasive free from governmental influence 14
- has been abridged by Defendants' practices. 15
- 43. Furthermore, the respect due the Doe Plaintiff parents is tarnished as the 16
- government with its "power, prestige and financial support," Engel v. Vitale, 17

including numerous letters and documents now for the first time printed. Gaillard Hunt, ed. 9 vols. (New York: G.P.Putnam's Sons; 1901), Vol. II, p. 188.

⁴ To preclude unnecessary repetition, Article 6 (Morality and Piety) of the New Hampshire Constitution will not be mentioned further. However, Plaintiffs assert

- religious beliefs are wrong." 2
- 44. In addition, the Doe Plaintiff children have their rights to acquire religious 3
- upbringing by their parents, free from governmental influence, abridged as 4
- well. 5
- 45. Plaintiffs DoeChild-1, DoeChild-2 and DoeChild-3 have all been forced to 6
- confront the government's claim that this is "one Nation under God" as their 7
- public school teachers have repeatedly led them and their classmates in reciting 8
- the now-religious Pledge of Allegiance in their classrooms and at school 9
- assemblies. 10

14

15

16

17

46. By endorsing the religious notion that God exists, the now-religious Pledge 12

creates a societal environment where prejudice against Atheists – and, thus, 13

against Plaintiffs here – is perpetuated. Accordingly, in addition to the Religion

Clause violations, the governmental use and advocacy of the now-religious

Pledge of Allegiance⁵ violates the requirements of Equal Protection as found in

the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

that each of the relevant claims made pursuant to the federal constitutional provisions likewise apply to the State Constitution.

The Pledge of Allegiance, as originally created in 1892, had no religious component. In fact, it remained secular for sixty-two years. It wasn't until 1954

- 47. The above harms are especially onerous because the affirmation is made part 2
- of a patriotic ritual, thus calling Plaintiffs' patriotism into question in front of 3
- their neighbors and peers. 4
- 48. On top of all this is the fact that the procedure involves standing, facing the 5
- Flag of the United States of America, and placing one's hand over one's heart. 6
- 49. For government to impose an adverse religious burden upon adults in such a 7
- setting is unquestionably in violation of the Religion Clauses of the First 8
- Amendment. 9
- 50. For the government to impost an adverse religious burden upon children in the 10
- public schools in incomprehensible under the First Amendment's Religion 11
- Clauses. 12

- 51. In fact, it is inevitable that children will suffer harm as a result of this practice. 13
- Accordingly, Defendants actually engage in child neglect (if not child abuse).⁶ 14

that, pursuant to an act of Congress that did nothing but add the phrase, "under God," purely religious dogma became part of its message.

Act of June 14, 1954, ch. 297, § 7, 68 Stat. 249.

⁶ 42 U.S.C. § 5106g(2) states, "[T]he term 'child abuse and neglect' means, at a minimum, any recent act or failure to act on the part of a ... caretaker, which results in ... serious physical or emotional harm ... or an act or failure to act which presents an imminent risk of serious harm."

- 52. Plaintiffs Jan and Pat Doe are the owners of real property located in Hanover,
- and pay the associated local property taxes. A portion of those taxes go to the
- 3 HSD, DSD and SAU #70. They earn income in New Hampshire, and pay the
- associated federal and state income taxes. They purchase items in New
- 5 Hampshire and pay the associated federal and state sales taxes.
- 6 53. Some of the federal tax dollars paid by Plaintiffs and utilized in connection
- with Defendants' maintenance and utilization of the Pledge of Allegiance are
- apportioned under the taxing and spending clause of Article I, Section 8 of the
- 9 Constitution of the United States.
- 54. Portion of these tax dollars are used to fund the Pledge (with its espousal of
- 11 Monotheism).
- 55. For instance, some of Plaintiffs' tax dollars are used to directly fund the
- governmental agents who lead the students (including the three DoeChildren
- here) and others in recitations of the now-religious Pledge.
- 56. Additionally, numerous federal, state and local governmental employees –
- using governmental facilities recite the now-sectarian Pledge of Allegiance
- while being paid from the government coffers. These employees include, but
- are not limited to, members of Defendant Congress (including members of
- both the House of Representatives and the Senate), and the school board
- 20 members and teachers of HSD.

- 57. The recitation of the now-sectarian Pledge of Allegiance by any of the above-
- 2 referenced governmental employees while performing their duties involves the
- use of Plaintiffs tax monies in an expression of religious belief as prohibited by
- 4 the First Amendment.
- 5 58. These tax moneys are also used to perpetuate the notion that "real Americans"
- believe in God, and those who do not believe in God are second-class citizens,
- 7 to be "tolerated" by our society.
- 8 59. That these tax moneys are also used in the education of the schoolchildren of
- 9 Hanover, of New Hampshire, and of the Nation is especially egregious. The
- repeated recitation of the now-sectarian Pledge of Allegiance indoctrinates
- schoolchildren including the Plaintiff children here with the religious
- dogmas that (a) there exists a god, and that (b) we are "one Nation under God."
- 13 60. Federal tax money is also used for the printing and distribution of the United
- States Code (including 4 U.S.C. § 4) as well as pamphlets, etc., that contain the
- Pledge of Allegiance.
- 61. Federal, State and County tax moneys are used when the Pledge is recited at
- federal, state and county governmental functions.

- 62. Federal tax money is also used to support the "Pause for the Pledge of 1 Allegiance" (Pub. L. 99 Stat. 97) annual festivities. 2
- 63. The preceding examples show that Plaintiffs' tax monies are used for 3
- governmental functions designed to bolster the use and status of the Pledge of 4
- Allegiance to the Flag, including its religious claim that there exists a God and 5
- that we exist under that God. The taking by the government of Plaintiffs' (and 6
- the rest of the citizenry's) personal wealth to place the government's 7
- imprimatur on religious beliefs to which those Plaintiffs do not adhere is a 8
- violation of both the Establishment and Free Exercise clauses. 9

- 64. By interlarding the Pledge of Allegiance with the purely religious words, 11
- "under God," the Congress of the United States by passing the Act of 1954 12
- has violated the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the Federal 13
- Constitution. 14

15

- 65. Congress has also violated RFRA. The Free Exercise of religion for each
- Plaintiff is "substantially burdened" when government requires an affirmation 17

⁷ Sponsored by The National Flag Day Foundation, this event involves the participation of thousands of Maryland school children, a high school choir, use of governmental buildings, a concert given by the 229th Maryland Army National Guard band, and a "Fly-over" by the A-10 "Thunderbolt" jets of the 104th Fighter

- of religious belief (contrary to each Plaintiff's religious beliefs) in order to 1
- exercise their rights as citizens to join with their neighbors in reciting the 2
- Nation's Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag. 3
- 66. As the ultimate party responsible for upholding the Constitution, Defendant 4
- United States of America has violated its duty to protect Plaintiffs' 5
- fundamental liberties by permitting the Congress to further (Christian) 6
- Monotheistic dogma. 7
- 67. By requiring that its public schools use the Pledge of Allegiance (with the 8
- purely religious words, "under God") as a patriotic exercise, Defendants HSD, 9
- DSD and SAU #70 by following RSA § 194:15-c (New Hampshire School 10
- Patriot Act) have violated the Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses of the 11
- First Amendment to the Federal Constitution; and Article 6 of the New 12
- Hampshire Constitution (requiring that "no subordination of any one sect, 13
- denomination or persuasion to another shall ever be established"). 14
- 68. Similarly, HSD, DSD & SAU #70 have violated RSA 169-D:23, which states, 15
- in pertinent part, that "No child under the supervision of any state institution 16
- shall be denied the free exercise of his religion or that of his parents." One 17
- cannot freely exercise Atheism when being coerced to countenance the notion 18
- that his own land is "one Nation under God." 19

Squadron 175th Wing Maryland Air National Guard. The estimated cost to

25

26

27

71. In addition to its constitutional infirmities, the placement of the words "under God" into the Pledge of Allegiance is void as against public policy. The very purpose of the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag – as can be appreciated from its

taxpayers of the Fly-over, alone, is on the order of \$10,000.00.

legislative history – is to provide a means of demonstrating patriotism and engendering national unity. By placing the religious words "under God" into the Pledge, Congress not only interfered with the patriotism and national unity

the Pledge was meant to engender, but it actually fostered divisiveness ... in a

5 manner expressly forbidden by the Constitution.

4

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows: 3
- I. To declare that Congress, in passing the Act of 1954, violated the 4
- Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses of the United States Constitution; 5
- To declare that by including "under God" in the Pledge of Allegiance to the II. 6
- Flag of the United States of America, 4 U.S.C. § 4 violates the 7
- Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses of the First Amendment, the Equal 8
- Protection component of the Fifth Amendment, and RFRA; 9
- III. To declare that by having their agents leading Plaintiffs and their peers in 10
- reciting the Pledge of Allegiance, HSD, DSD, and SAU #70 violate the 11
- Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses of the First Amendment, the Equal 12
- Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, RFRA, Article 6 of the 13
- New Hampshire Constitution, New Hampshire RSA § 194:15-c, and RSA § 14
- 169-D:23. 15
- To demand that Defendant the Congress of the United States of America IV. 16
- immediately act to remove the words "under God" from the Pledge of 17
- Allegiance to the Flag as now written in 4 U.S.C. § 4; 18
- To demand the Defendant United States of America act to ensure V. 19
- compliance with the noble principles of the Religions Clauses of the First 20

	Amendment and use its power to re	thove the words that God from the
	United States Code as now written	in 4 U.S.C. § 4;
VI.	To demand that Defendants HSD,	DSD, and SAU #70 cease and desist in
	using the now-sectarian Pledge of	Allegiance in the public schools within its
	jurisdictions;	
VII.	To allow Plaintiffs to recover costs	, expert witness fees, attorney fees, etc. as
	may be allowed by law; and	
VIII.	To provide such other and further i	relief as the Court may deem proper.
Respo	ectfully submitted,	
<u>/s/</u>	- Michael Newdow	/s/ - Rosanna Fox
Mich	ael Newdow, <i>pro hac vice</i> ⁸	Rosanna Fox, NH SBN: 17693
	sel for Plaintiffs	O'Brien Law Firm, P.C.
РОВ	sel for Plaintiffs ox 233345 mento CA 95823	·
РОВ	ox 233345 mento CA 95823	O'Brien Law Firm, P.C. One Sundial Avenue, #510
PO B Sacra	ox 233345 mento CA 95823 e: (916) 427-6669	O'Brien Law Firm, P.C. One Sundial Avenue, #510 Manchester, NH 03103